Abstract

**Objective:** Many complex diseases exhibit co-morbidities often requiring management by more than one health specialist. We examined cross-specialty issues that ultimately affect the health and wellbeing of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). PCOS was originally described as a reproductive condition but is now recognised to also be a metabolic and psychological condition affecting 8–13% of women of reproductive age. With a four-fold increased risk of type 2 diabetes (DM2), the Population Attributable Risk of DM2 that could be avoided if PCOS were eliminated is a substantial 19–28% of women of reproductive age. To determine the extent to which PCOS is an important consideration in diabetes development, we examined publications, funding, guidelines and predictors of risk of developing DM2.

**Results:** We found that the topic of PCOS appeared in specialist diabetes journals at only 10% the rate seen in endocrinology journals – about 1 in 500 articles. We found research funding to be substantially less than for diabetes and found that diabetes guidelines and predictive tools for DM2 risk mostly ignore PCOS. This is surprising since insulin resistance in women with PCOS has a different aetiology and additionally women with PCOS are at increased risk of becoming overweight or obese – high risk factors for DM2.

**Conclusions:** We consider the causes of these concerning anomalies and discuss current activities to address the co-morbidities of PCOS, including the recent development of international guidelines, an international PCOS awareness program and potentially changing the name of PCOS to better reflect its metabolic consequences.

Introduction

Following the recent release of international guidelines for management of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) (1) endorsed by 38 international organisations in 71 countries, the research, clinical and consumer PCOS communities have highlighted the challenges caused by the poor recognition of the metabolic features of PCOS.

To meet these challenges international multifaceted, multilingual education and translation/awareness programs are underway with free resources to be found at [https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos](https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos). Here, we sought to identify and analyse some of the other problems that need to be addressed.
to overcome the poor recognition of the metabolic features of PCOS.

PCOS is a chronic complex disorder that affects more than one in ten women, significantly impacting health and well-being (2, 3). The pathophysiology of PCOS is poorly understood and a US National Institute of Health (NIH) evidence-based consensus workshop has recognised that awareness of the condition is limited, while PCOS research is inadequate and poorly funded (4). PCOS is a reproductive and metabolic condition with psychological consequences (5). Women with PCOS suffer symptoms of excess androgen (hirsutism, acne, central adiposity), reproductive dysfunction (infertility, menstrual irregularity, miscarriage, pregnancy complications) and metabolic complications (6, 7). Metabolic features include insulin resistance (IR), compensatory hyperinsulinaemia and associated risk of gestational diabetes (GDM), impaired glucose tolerance, type 2 diabetes (DM2), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), dyslipidaemia and increased risk factors for both cerebrovascular and cardiovascular disease (6, 7, 8, 9). Ultimately, anxiety, depression and distress are increased and quality of life is reduced (10, 11). As such, the syndrome is a significant cause of physical and emotional distress to sufferers and is a significant economic burden to individuals and governments (12).

Based on the Rotterdam criteria, the prevalence of PCOS in women of reproductive age is 8–13% (3, 13). Women with PCOS have a four-fold increased risk of DM2 irrespective of their BMI and with a younger disease onset (14, 15). Using these figures, we calculate that the Population Attributable Risk (PAR=proportion exposed × (relative risk − 1)/1 + proportion exposed × (relative risk − 1)) is 19.4% (PAR=0.08 (4−1)/(1+0.08 (4−1))) to 28% (PAR=0.13 (4−1)/(1+0.13 (4−1))). Thus, the prevalence of DM2 in women of reproductive age would be 19–28% lower if PCOS could be eliminated. GDM is also increased 2–3-fold in PCOS (16), yet, risk prediction tools for both GDM and DM2 have traditionally not accounted for PCOS.

The true underpinnings of increased risk of DM2 in PCOS remain complex and somewhat unclear. On WHO criteria for IR (clamp-derived glucose infusion rate levels as less than the 25th centile of lean matched controls), a study in 73 women using gold standard clamp techniques showed that 75% of lean and 95% of obese women had IR compared to weight-matched controls (17). This was supported by a meta-analysis of clamp studies in PCOS where IR is clearly increased independently of BMI, yet, it is exacerbated by obesity (18). Inherent IR mechanisms in PCOS also appear to differ from those associated with DM2 or obesity (6, 19). Insulin-mediated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue is affected by a post receptor defect in insulin signalling in PCOS (19), that is the subject of ongoing research.

With IR, GDM and DM2 being such major features of PCOS, the link between PCOS, diabetes and metabolic dysfunction appears to be under-appreciated. To explore this further, we analysed some of the barriers that have confronted clinical and basic research into PCOS and highlight some of the recent initiatives to address these issues.

Publications on PCOS

We first examined journals devoted to the topic of diabetes. We performed PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) searches on the terms ‘polycystic’ OR ‘PCOS’ in diabetes journals selected from the top 20 ranked journals by impact factor in Thompsons ISI’s category of ‘Endocrinology and Metabolism’ with the word ‘diabetes’ in the journal name (Table 1). We could find 0, 34, 36, 15 and 4 articles in total in Lancet Diabetes Endocrinology, Diabetes, Diabetes Care, Diabetologia and Diabetes Obesity Metabolism, respectively, at any time prior to the study end date of March, 2017. This equates to around one in every 500 articles addressing the topic of PCOS, which is more than 10-fold lower than in journals devoted to clinical endocrinology or clinical reproduction (Table 1). This is despite 6853 total articles on PCOS addressing insulin, IR or T2DM (searching on (polycystic ovary OR PCOS) AND (insulin OR diabetes) in PubMed). In summary, key relevant diabetes journals publish relatively little on this common condition affecting one in ten women and imparting a high risk of DM2 at a younger age.

Why is PCOS under-represented in diabetes journals? Without knowing the rates of submission and acceptance for relevant journals, it is not possible to assess where the challenges lie, and whether there may be a potential bias in handling of PCOS articles or if authors preferred other journals focused on endocrinology or reproduction. It might be a combination of both as of ‘the 8600 papers submitted to Diabetologia since 1 Jan 2013, only 17 articles had PCOS/polycystic in the title (i.e. 0.2%), suggesting that one of the main reasons for low penetrance of PCOS in the diabetes journals is that they are not being submitted very much’ (Editor of Diabetologia, unpublished observation). Poor funding of PCOS research has probably also led to fewer studies being published on PCOS (20) or perhaps studies have not been conducted to the standard required in diabetes journals. In any event, far fewer articles on
PCOS are published in the diabetes literature than in endocrinology and reproduction journals, and this rate appears to be lower than the high diabetes risk status would warrant.

Research funding of PCOS

Dr Ricardo Azziz and his colleagues examined funding by the NIH in the USA over 10 years. They identified that PCOS received substantially less funding than other conditions for which the prevalence, economic burden, metabolic morbidity and negative impact on quality of life was much less than PCOS (20). In Australia the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) is the premier funder of medical research and its main funding mechanism is by way of project grants with currently over 600 granted per annum. Using NHMRC published data and searching the titles of the funded project grants for the terms ‘PCOS’ or ‘polycystic’, there were only nine funded project grants in 16 years (2003–2018) (21). Searching the term ‘diabetes’ in the titles of project grants for the same period we identified 196 funded grants. These data identify that that PCOS receives <5% of the funding that diabetes receives but contributes proportionately more to the prevalence of GDM and DM2.

Anecdotally, colleagues from other countries have found it strategically advantageous not to address PCOS issues when applying for research grants, but rather to examine broader issues that include PCOS as a subgroup.

Additionally, whilst there are philanthropic organisations supporting research into many areas such as diabetes, cancer and cardiovascular diseases, we are unaware of any such foundations for PCOS. This raises the question as to why research into PCOS is so poorly funded. Part of the reason could be that the secondary pathologies associated with the syndrome, like obesity or DM2, take priority over PCOS which is perceived by many health professionals as primarily a reproductive disorder (22).

PCOS diagnosis and guidelines

PCOS was originally described as a reproductive condition (23), and it was not recognised that these patients had perturbed insulin and glucose handling until the 1980s (24). Additionally PCOS is multifactorial and there have been two major consensus meetings to define its diagnostic criteria referred to as the National Institute of Health (NIH) criteria (original) and the Rotterdam criteria (now NIH endorsed) (4). The first evidence-based guidelines in PCOS were produced in Australia in 2011 (10) and international co-funded rigorous evidence-based guidelines have only recently been published (1).

The Rotterdam criteria stipulate two of the following symptoms (1) polycystic ovaries, (2) evidence of androgen excess and (3) oligo- or amenorrhea. These criteria were recently internationally endorsed (25) and are founded in evidence with the international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of PCOS.
Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) is a common metabolic disorder that affects women. It is characterized by an array of symptoms including menstrual irregularities, acne, hirsutism, and infertility. The prevalence of PCOS, estimated to be between 6% and 10% of women in the general population, is significantly higher in women with type 2 diabetes (T2D), contributing to the metabolic risk of T2D. The metabolic complications of PCOS include insulin resistance (IR), glucose metabolism disorders such as gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), and T2D. Studies have indicated a strong association between PCOS and T2D, with up to 50% of PCOS patients developing T2D. Furthermore, women with PCOS have a significantly increased risk of developing complications associated with T2D, including cardiovascular disease and macrovascular events. The recognition of PCOS as a risk factor for T2D is crucial for the effective management and prevention of these complications. This review highlights the importance of understanding the metabolic and endocrine implications of PCOS in women with T2D, and emphasizes the need for early diagnosis and intervention to mitigate the risk of developing T2D and its associated complications.
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